

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/043,178 01/14/2002		Seung Choul Yang	056090-5001	5055	
9629	7590 08/16/2004		EXAMINER		
<del>-</del>	LEWIS & BOCKIUS	RAMANA, ANURADHA			
	SYLVANIA AVENUE N ON, DC 20004	IW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3732		

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/043,178		YANG ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Anu Ramana		3732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status				•					
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on <u>06 N</u>	May 2004.							
2a)⊠ This action i	s <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-	īnal.		٠.				
·									
Disposition of Claims									
4a) Of the ab 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24,</u> 7)□ Claim(s) <u>25</u>									
Application Papers									
9) The specifica	ation is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 May 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da ☐ Notice of Informal P ☐ Other:	ite	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/043,178

Art Unit: 3732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 24, 28 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Robert (US 6,309,219).

Robert discloses a surgical instrument 10 having first and second end portions, 14 and 16; the first end portion having a cutting tip and a grip 46 secured thereto and the second end portion having a blade 36 (Figs. 4, 5, 13, 14, col. 2, lines 15-37, col. 3, lines 19-65 and col. 4, lines 10-31).

The initial statement of intended use and all other functional implications related thereto have been considered but do not appear to impose any patentably distinguishing structure over that disclosed by Robert.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments submitted under "REMARKS" in the response filed on May 6, 2004, with respect to claims 24, 28 and 35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-23 and 34 are allowed.

Claim 25 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,178

Art Unit: 3732

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (703) 306-4035. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AR Armadha Ramara August 9, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700